Request for a site by proprietor, 'Hindu' Paper

595. Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY (Chikkanayakanahalli).—

Will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the Proprietor of the Hindu Newspaper has requested the Government to allot a site for their office ;

(*b*) if so, whether any site has been allotted and if so, at what price and the area of the site so allotted ?

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) This is under consideration of Government.

Munsiff Magistrate Court at Shahapur

686. Sri BAPU GOWDA (Shahapur).—

Will the Miniser for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether there is any representation for the establishment of Munsiff Magistrate Court at Shahapur (District Gulbarga) ;

(*b*) whether it has come to the notice of Government that the percentage of litigation of Shabapnr Taluk is higher than of Shorapur Taluk ;

(*c*) the statistics of litigation (Civil and Criminal) of Shahapur Taluk and Shorapur Taluk since 1966 to 1968 ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The High Court is of the opinion that the volume of Litigation in Shahapur Taluk is not sufficient for keeping a Munsiff Magistrate fully engaged.

(*c*) The High Court has been addressed in the matter and the information will be laid on the Table after its receipt.

Notice of Adjournment Motion *re*: alleged murder of an Agarabati Worker in Bangalore.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ (ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ)—ಈ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ವನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಸ್ಟಾರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಲಕ್ಷಾಂತರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ

ಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಒಂದು ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಏನಿದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ನಡೆಸ ಬೇಕೆನ್ನುವುದು ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯ. ಎರಡನೆಯದು ಸಿದ್ದಾಪುರ ಗುಡ್ಡದ ಪಾಳ್ಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಲೀಸನವರು ಈಗ ಮೂರು ದಿವಸದ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮನುಷ್ಯನನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಅವಮಾನುಷವಾದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಂದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಆ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—With respect to the adjournment motion referred to by hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj, I have to say that he has moved only a resolution recommending certain action to be taken by the Government and has not referred to any incident either of past or present. Therefore I have disallowed it. If the hon. Member wants to say anything, he could make observation in the course of the general discussion on the Budget. I have disallowed it.

As regards the second matter referred to by hon. Member Sri Nagaraj, I have requested him to furnish certain details, so that I can consider whether the matter could be admitted.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ಶ್ರೀವಾನ್ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್‌ರವರು ಹೇಳಿರ ತಕ್ಕ ಎರಡನೆಯ ವಿಚಾರ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಮೀರ್ ಅನ್ನುವವರನ್ನು ಕೊರೆ ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಲೀಸ್‌ನವರು ಪಾತ್ರವಹಿಸಿದ್ದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ, ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿದಿರತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರ, ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ವಿವರಣೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆನ್ನುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಥವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಭಾಪತಿಯವರು ಇವತ್ತೇ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಶೇಷ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಇದು ಅವಸರದ ವಿಷಯ ಎಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Chief Minister).—Sir, I am told that a calling attention notice has been tabled on the subject. So far as I am concerned, I am prepared to make a statement even to-day.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is already a call attention notice admitted on this subject. It will come up to-day or tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಇದು ನಾಳೆ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಅಲ್ಲ ಇದು. ಅತಿ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದುದು ಇಡೀ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಜನ ನೋಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದು, ಪೋಲೀಸನವರು ಯಾವ ಮಟ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಅವರ ಧಾಳಿಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದ ಮನುಷ್ಯರನ್ನು ಇಲಿಗಳಂತೆ ಕೊಲ್ಲುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಬಹಳ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಈಗಲೇ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಮನವಿಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There ought to be some procedure. I have already admitted a call attention notice on the subject.

Sri K. H. PATIL (Hubli).—Sir, only a statement in response to the call attention notice does not give any scope for this hon. House to express its opinion on the subject. In this incident, not only one person is involved but there are many persons involved and which fact has not been brought to the notice of the hon. Chief Minister and the Department concerned. Therefore, it is necessary to discuss this matter in this House. The hon. Chief Minister also should know in detail all the persons involved in the incident. Therefore it is very necessary, in one form or the other, to have an opportunity to discuss the subject in this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon. Chief Minister may make a statement.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, with the permission of the hon. Chair, I would like to make the following statement. On the morning of 1-3-1969 information was received.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಇದು ಕಾಲ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ಮೋಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವೇ ಅಥವಾ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ಹೇಗೆ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall decide after hearing the Hon. Chief Minister.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಬಿ. ಜ್ವಾಲನಯ್ಯ (ಹಾನಗಲ್).—ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್‌ರವರು ಎತ್ತಿರುವಂತಹ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has not come to my notice so far.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಬಿ. ಜ್ವಾಲನಯ್ಯ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಯಾವುದರ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ? ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್‌ರವರು ಎತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯದಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಯಿತು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When a point arose regarding certain incident that has taken place and in which the hon. Members are showing interest, the hon. Chief Minister wanted to make a statement. As regards the adjournment motion given notice of by hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj I shall look into it after it comes to my notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ನಾನು ನುಮಾರು 2 ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ನೋಟನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟೆ. ಅವಿನ್ನೂ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಕೈಗೆ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂದರೇನು?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member should know when I am explaining the matter, he should not rise and speak. It is a fundamental rule that no hon. Member should rise to speak when the Speaker is addressing the House. If hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj has given a motion on the same subject, I shall look into it and then decide as to whether I shall permit the motion or not. Now, I shall hear the Hon. Chief Minister.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈಗ ಸಭೆಯು ಮುಂದಿರುವುದು ಒಂದು ಅವಸರದ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳಿವೆ. ಈಗ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯ ಅಂಥ ಅವಸರವಾದುದ್ದೋ, ತುರ್ತುಸ್ವಭಾವದ್ದೋ, ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯತೆಯುಳ್ಳದ್ದೋ ಹಾಗೂ ಜರೂರು ವಿಷಯವೇ ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಧಾನವಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಗಲೇ ಇದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall find out and look into the matter. Let me hear the Hon. Chief Minister and then take a decision on the matter.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Before hearing the hon. Chief Minister I want to know whether the hon. Chair has allowed him to make a statement.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಅರಕೇರಿ (ಬಳ್ಳಿಗಾವಿ).—ಆ ನೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿದರೆ ಒಂದು ನಿಮಿಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall look into it. After hearing the Hon. Chief Minister, I will decide as to whether I should admit the motion given notice of by hon. Member Sri Vatal Nagaraj.

†SRI H. N. NANJE GOWDA (Arkalgud).—On a point of order, Sir. Just now hon. Member Sri Nagaraj drew the attention of the Hon. Chair to the notice of adjournment motion given by him. In the Rules of procedure it is stated that two hours before the commencement of the sitting of the Assembly such notices should be given to the Secretary of the Legislature. Now that the hon. Chair is telling that the said notice has not come to him yet, even though the hon. Member gave the notice two hours prior to the commencement of the Assembly. I request the hon. Chair to permit us to have a discussion on the matter first and then allow the Hon. Chief Minister to make a statement. Unless an opportunity is given to discuss the matter, we are not prepared to hear the Hon. Chief Minister. The hon. Speaker may invite the hon. Chief Minister to his chambers and discuss with him and permit the hon. Members to raise this issue. Or else, if the Hon. Chief Minister is allowed to make a statement, we must also be given an opportunity to discuss this matter either before or after the statement of the Hon. Chief Minister.

MR. SPEAKER.—One should be more careful in making statements here. This notice was received here just at the time of the commencement of this House, today and not earlier. The time is also noted here. Secondly, it is not an adjournment motion. The notice is given under rule 59 which is intended to merely raise discussion. It is merely for discussion. Therefore, if the Hon. Chief Minister makes a statement, we shall consider what further things are necessary.

SRI D. B. KALMANKAR (Aland).—Without calling for the full records, what is the use of making such a statement?

MR. SPEAKER.—The Chief Minister can make a statement at any time with the permission of the Chair. I have allowed him.

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL.—I volunteered to make a statement because I could find that a good number of Members are anxious to know the facts. I thought since I am in possession of the facts I should inform the House what has happened and what action has been taken by the concerned Police authorities in this matter.

Sir, on the morning of 1st March 1969 information was received from the Deputy Commissioner, Law and Order by the Commissioner of Police that 2 Head Constables and some Constables of Wilson Garden Police Station had allegedly assaulted several persons in Guttepalya on the night of 28th February 1969 (Friday), that one Shaik Ameer had been done to death and that his dead body was found lying in a ditch behind the Siddapur Tank near Tuttepalya. The Commissioner of Police proceeded to the spot, by which time the Deputy Commissioners of Police, Law and Order, Crime and others had also reached the place A large crowd had assembled and they were in an agitated mood. The Commissioner of Police assured them that necessary action would be taken against the wrong doers and that they should co-operate with the police in the investigation of the case. The dead body was found

(SRI VEERENDRA PATIL)

lying in a ditch behind the tank bund at a distance of 1 furlong from the main road, which connects the Ashoka Pillar with Hosur Road. Though there were no other visible injuries on the body, there was swelling on either side of the neck.

Inquest over the dead body was held and the body was sent for post-mortem. The medical opinion regarding the cause of death is that it was due to obstruction to air passage (throttling).

The following facts were alleged by the people who were examined. On the night of 28th February 1969 when the deceased and 5 or 6 others were gambling on the roadside near their huts in Guttepalya, one police Constable Puttaraju of Wilson Garden Police Station went there, accosted them and grabbed the stake money, when the deceased Ameer challenged him and a scuffle ensued. Thereafter, Police Constable Puttaraju went to the Wilson Garden Police Station and returned after some time with Head Constables Venkatappa, Naganna and Police Constables Veerathaiah, Boraiah, Chenne Gowda and Rangaiah. They rushed to Guttepalya hutments and belaboured some of the residents. Further, according to the allegations, some of them gave chase to the deceased Shaik Ameer and some time later the Head Constables and the Police Constables returned to Wilson Garden Police Station with four persons who had been rounded up and had sustained injuries. A case in Crime No. 27/69 of Wilson Garden Police Station under Sections 143, 147, 148, 324, 451, 302 read with section 34 IPC has been registered and is being investigated. One Assistant Commissioner of Police has been placed in charge of investigation of the case.

Next morning the body of Shaik Ameer was found in the ditch as stated earlier.

Head Constables Venkatappa, Naganna and Police Constables Veerathaiah, Puttaraju, Boriah were arrested on the evening of 1st March 1969 and the other two Police Constables Chenne Gowda and Rangaiah were arrested on 2nd March 1969. The first five were produced before the City Magistrate, Bangalore, who has remanded them to police custody to facilitate further investigation.

The Inspector-General of Police, the Commissioner of Police and other Police Officers, Special Officer, C.I.D. have visited the scene of occurrence several times and are guiding the investigation. Thorough investigation is being done and framing of the charge sheet is imminent.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The Hon. Chief Minister has made a statement now. According to rule 59, a discussion for not more than 2½ hours can be allowed. Under that rule, I request you to permit discussion at least for 2½ hours at the earliest opportunity today because it is a matter of urgent public importance.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—May I submit humbly I have made one thing very clear in my statement that on the basis of the investigations

conducted so far, it seems to Government that the filing of charge-sheet is imminent. I know Sir, there are so many rulings which have come to the conclusion that if the filing of charge-sheet is imminent, it amounts to *sub judice*.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall consider this question and give my ruling later. The Hon. Chief Minister says that when a charge-sheet is imminent, the matter is as good as sub-judice. If Mr. Nagappa or any other member has any decision with them to the contrary, I request them to give it to me.

Sri M. NAGAPPA (Raichur).—So many High Court rulings have been quoted in a Ruling which I shall quote after sometime.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member can give me that ruling. I shall also go through it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ಪ್ಯಾಮಿ, ಇದು ಕಮ್ಯುನರ್ ರಯಟ್. ಸೋಮೇಶ್ವರಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ 6 ಜನರಿಗೆ ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಗಾಯಗಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಆರು ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸುಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. 12 ಮನೆಗಳು ಅರ್ಧ ಸುಟ್ಟಿವೆ. ಇಂಥ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಜೆಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ, ಆವಾಗ ಡಿಸ್ಕಶನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಕೋಮುವಾರು ಗಲಭೆ. ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಆದರೆ, ನಾಳೆ ಎರಡನೆಯ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ, ನಂತರ ಮೂರನೆಯ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗೂ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದುದು. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇವತ್ತು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ, ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಬೆ ಹೋದರೆ, ಬಹಳ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇಂಥ ಪ್ರಸಂಗಗಳನ್ನು ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಎಂದರೆ, ಅದು ಸರಿಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಅದನ್ನು ರಿಕನ್ಸಿಡರ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall go into the record and find out what it is.

2-30 P.M.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After going through the authorities to be quoted by Sri Nagappa, I will give my ruling.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಲೋಕಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್ (ವೀರರಾಜಪೇಟೆ).—ಸ್ಪ್ಯಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಈಗ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ತಾವು ಆಫ್ಟರ್ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಯಾವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಲೋಕಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್.—ಮ್ಯಾರೇಜ್ ಇನ್‌ವಿಟೇಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is not an urgent matter. I will permit the hon. Member to speak on this in the evening.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I have already given two notices. The Chair has promised to take them up. If the Chair now says like this, all my efforts will have proved useless.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon. Member has referred to certain judgements of the Supreme Court. I have requested the Member to give a copy of the Judgement.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ತಮಗೆ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವು ನಿರ್ಣಯಕೊಡುವವರೆಗೂ ಇದು ಹೀಗೆಯೇ ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ? ಆ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟನಂತರ ಅದರ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಏನು ಉದ್ಭವವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—All depends upon the date of the Judgement. I have requested the Law Department to furnish a copy of the Judgement. But it is unable to furnish a copy. Therefore, I have requested the Hon. Member to furnish a copy of the Judgement, so that I could go through the Judgement before giving my consent. I must have the date of the Judgement in the first place. Then only I can apply my mind and then decide whether to give my consent or not.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—There is no obligation on the part of the member to furnish copies of the Judgement. He can only quote references. There are certain rules regarding this. It is not necessary for the Chair to get a copy from the Member to give its considered ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Unless the member gives a copy, it may not be possible to have it otherwise. The Hon. Member may please meet me in my Chambers.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—Then what is the use of my notices?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now Budget discussion will commence.

Budget Estimates for 1969-70

(General Discussion)

(*Debate continued.*)

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ರೈ (ಬಂಟ್‌ವಾಳ).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈ ಸನ್ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಿದಂಥ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ, ಒಂದೆರಡು ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಇದೇ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದಂಥ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಫರ್ನಾಂಡಿಸ್ ಅವರು ಶಿವಸೇನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಖಂಡಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಕುಂದಾಪುರದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಹ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಸೇನೆ, ಬಂಟರಸೇನೆಗಳು ಉದ್ಭವವಾಗಿವೆ ಯೆಂದು ಅವರು ಉದ್ರೇಕಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನನಗೆ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯವಾಯಿತು.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER in the Chair]

ಈ ರೀತಿ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನಮ್ಮ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ದೌರ್ಭಾಗ್ಯವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿ ಅವರು ಅಲ್ಲಯ ಜನಜೀವನದ ಇತಿಹಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳಂಕ ತಂದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ದೂರವಾದ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ನಾನು ನಿರ್ಭಯವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಫರ್ನಾಂಡಿಸ್ ಅವರು ಅರಿಸಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರವಾದ ಕುಂದಾಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂಟ ಜನರು ಬಹಳ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ.

Smt. WINNIFRED F. FERNANDES (Coondapur).—On a Point of Order Sir.....

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಲೀಲಾವತಿ ರೈ.—ನಾನು ಈರ್ಡ್ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕುಂದಾಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂಟರು ಬಹುಸಂಖ್ಯಾತರು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕುಂದಾಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿ ಸೋಲುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಬಂಟರೇ ಕಾರಣ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಇವರು ಮರೆತಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ನಾನು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಮೂಲಕ ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದಂತಹ ಮಾತು ಸತ್ಯ ವಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅವರು ಇನ್ನೊಮ್ಮೆ ಇದೇ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಿದ್ದರೆ...